

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**RFA No. 2212 of 2014 (O&M)**  
LAC No. 243 of 2011

Date of decision : 11.12.2015

|                             |    |                   |
|-----------------------------|----|-------------------|
| Meena Kumari                | VS | ..... Appellant   |
| State of Haryana and others |    | ..... Respondents |

**Coram:     Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rajesh Bindal**

Present:     Mr. Nitin Goel, Advocate for  
                 Mr. Sanjiv Gupta, Advocate, for the appellant (s).  
                 Mr. Arun Beniwal, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

**Rajesh Bindal J.**

              This order will dispose of RFA Nos. 2212 to 2214, 2797 and 3677 of 2014, as the same arise out of common acquisition.

              The appeals have been filed by the landowners seeking enhancement of compensation for the acquired land.

              Briefly, the facts of the case are that vide notification dated 15.1.2008, issued under Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (for short, 'the Act'), State of Haryana sought to acquire land, situated in villages Khairpur, Vaidwala, Nejadela, Tehsil and District Sirsa for development of residential and commercial Sectors 21 and 22-P, Sirsa. The same was followed by notification dated 14.1.2009, issued under Section 6 of the Act. The Land Acquisition Collector (for short, 'the Collector'), vide award dated 12.1.2011, assessed the compensation @ ₹ 50,00,000/- per acre. Aggrieved against the award of the Collector, the land owners filed objections which were referred to the learned court below, who keeping in view the material placed on record by the parties, determined the fair value of the acquired land @ ₹ 83,18,000/- per acre. This award has been impugned in the present set of appeals by the landowners.

Learned counsel for the landowners submitted that the claim made in the present set of appeals is squarely covered by the judgment of this Court in RFA No.583 of 2014— Balbir Kaur vs State of Haryana and others, decided on 4.9.2015, whereby the matter has been remanded back to the learned Reference Court for decision afresh.

Learned counsel for the State did not dispute the aforesaid factual position.

Accordingly, for the detailed reasons recorded in Balbir Kaur's case (supra), the present appeals stand disposed of in the same terms. Parties through their counsels are directed to appear before learned District Judge, Sirsa on 15.1.2016. It would be appropriate if the present cases are also entrusted to the learned Court which is dealing with the cases remanded earlier.

11.12.2015  
vs

**(Rajesh Bindal)**  
**Judge**