

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

RFA No. 6863 of 2013 (O&M)

Date of decision : 20.8.2015

Parveen

.. Appellant

versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rajesh Bindal

Present: Mr. Kanav Bansal, Advocate for
Mr. Munish Kumar Garg, Advocate, for the appellant.

Mr. Abhinash Jain, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.

Rajesh Bindal, J.

The present appeal has been filed by the landowner seeking enhancement of compensation for the acquired land.

Briefly, the facts of the case are that vide notification issued on 13.5.2009, published on 26.5.2009, under Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (for short, 'the Act'), State of Haryana sought to acquire the land situated within the revenue estate of village Danoda Kalan, Tehsil Narwana, District Jind, for construction of Surbra Link Channel. Notification under Section 6 of the Act was issued on 24.9.2009. The Land Acquisition Collector (for short, 'the Collector') vide award dated 29.12.2009 assessed compensation @ ₹ 8,00,000/- per acre. Dissatisfied with the award of the Collector, the land owner filed objections. On reference under Section 18 of the Act, the learned court below vide award dated 23.1.2013 assessed the market value of the acquired land @ ₹ 10,00,000/- per acre. It is this award which has been impugned before this court by the landowner by filing the present appeal.

Learned counsel for the landowner submitted that the claim made in the present appeal is squarely covered by judgment of this Court in RFA No. 6159 of 2013 - Smt. Khazani and others vs State of Haryana and

another, decided on 14.11.2013, whereby the compensation for the land acquired vide same notification was further enhanced to ₹ 10,94,000/- per acre.

Learned counsel for the State did not dispute the aforesaid factual position.

Accordingly, for the reasons recorded in Smt. Khazani's case (supra), the present appeal is disposed of in the same terms.

20.8.2015
vs

(Rajesh Bindal)
Judge