

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA, CHANDIGARH

RSA No. 4131 of 2012(O&M)
Date of decision :February 16, 2015

Anita Rani

..... Appellant

Versus

Om Parkash and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present:- Mr.G. S. Punia, Senior Advocate with
Ms. Mandeep Kaur, Advocate
for the appellant.

Mr. Arvind Kashyap, Advocate
for respondent No. 1.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

Amit Rawal, J (oral).

This Court while adjourning the case on 16.10.2014
passed the following order:-

Learned counsel for respondent No.1 submits that the appellant has since sold away her share in the disputed property in favour of respondent No.2- Harwinder Singh on 5.10.2013. Learned counsel for respondent No.1 has also handed over a copy of sale deed to learned counsel for the appellant for which learned appellant's counsel seeks time to have instructions.

Adjourned to 16.2.2015."

Today, Mr. G. S. Punia, Senior Advocate on instructions stated that the appellant-defendant had sold away her share in the disputed property to Harwinder Singh-defendant No.1 and therefore the appellant would not have any interest in the property, much less no cause of action arise to pursue the appeal. Harwinder Singh-defendant No.1 had not filed any regular second appeal.

In view of what has been observed above the appeal which is at the instance of the appellant-defendant who is stated to have sold her share to Kuldeep Kaur w/o Harwinder Singh, nothing survives in the appeal.

The appeal is accordingly dismissed.

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

February 16, 2015
archana