

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

RFA No. 10667 of 2014 (O&M)

Date of decision : 29.6.2015

Smt. San Pati and others

.. Appellants

versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rajesh Bindal

Present: Mr. Sonu Giri, Advocate for Mr. Sandeep Sharma, Advocate,
and Mr. Vineet Chaudhary, Advocate, for the landowners.
Mr. Pritam Saini, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.
Mr. Deepak Balyan, Advocate, for HSIIDC.

Rajesh Bindal, J.

This order will dispose of RFA Nos. 10667 and 10675 of 2014, as the same arise out of common acquisition.

The present appeals have been filed by the landowners seeking enhancement of compensation awarded for the acquired land.

Briefly, the facts of the case are that State of Haryana vide notification dated 29.1.2003, issued under Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (for short, 'the Act') sought to acquire land situated in villages Khandsa, Narsingpur, Mohammadpur Jharsa, Harsaru and Garauli Khurd, Tehsil and District Gurgaon for setting up of Industrial Complex to be planned and developed as Special Economic Zone ('SEZ') Phase-I. The same was followed by notification dated 28.1.2004, issued under Section 6 of the Act. The Land Acquisition Collector (for short, 'the Collector'), vide award dated 27.1.2006, assessed the market value of the acquired land of different villages at different rates. Aggrieved against the award of the Collector, the land owners filed objections which were referred to the learned court below, who keeping in view the material placed on record by the parties, enhanced the market value of the acquired land. The aforesaid awards have been impugned by the landowners in the present appeals.

Learned counsel for the landowners submitted that the claim made in the present set of appeals is squarely covered by judgments of this Court in RFA No. 2174 of 2012 - Smt. Savitri Devi vs The Land Acquisition Collector, Gurgaon and others, decided on 23.9.2014, and of Hon'ble the Supreme Court in Civil Appeal No. 3412 of 2015 Sachin and others vs State of Haryana and others, decided on 31.3.2015.

Learned counsel for the respondents did not dispute the aforesaid factual position.

Accordingly, for the reasons recorded in Smt. Savitri Devi and and Sachin's cases (supra), the appeals are disposed of in the same terms.

29.6.2015
vs

(Rajesh Bindal)
Judge