

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

RFA No. 10539 of 2014 (O&M)
LAC No. 403 of 2010/ 2013

Date of decision : 17.11.2015

HSIDC (Now Haryana State Industrial &
Infrastructure Development Corporation Limited) .. Appellant

vs

Krishan Kumar alias Phool Singh and others .. Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rajesh Bindal

Present: Mr. Hitesh Pandit, Advocate for
Mr. Vishal Garg, Advocate, for the appellant-HSIIDC.

Rajesh Bindal, J.

This order will dispose of RFA Nos. 10539 to 10544 of 2014 ,
as the same arise out of common acquisition.

Haryana State Industrial & Infrastructure Development
Corporation Limited (for short, 'the HSIIDC') is seeking reduction of
compensation for the acquired land.

Briefly, the facts of the case are that the State of Haryana vide
notification dated 1.4.2008 issued under Section 4 of the Land Acquisition
Act, 1894 (for short, "the Act"), sought to acquire the land situated within
the revenue estate of village Nangal Kalan, Hadbast No.43, village Sersa,
Hadbast No.42, village Kundli, Hadbast No.55, Tehsil and District Sonapat,
for the development of Industrial Sectors 53, 54, 55 and 56, Sonapat.
Notification under Section 6 of the Act was issued on 15.1.2009. The Land
Acquisition Collector (for short, 'the Collector') vide award nos.8, 9 and 10
dated 23.7.2009 assessed the market value of the acquired land @ ₹ 16 lacs
per acre. The landowners feeling dissatisfied with the amount of
compensation awarded by the Collector, filed objections. On reference
under Section 18 of the Act, the learned court below vide award dated
28.2.2014, assessed the market value of the acquired land @ ₹ 27,70,000/-

per acre. This award has been impugned in the present set of appeals by the HSIIDC.

Learned counsel for the appellants very fairly submitted that the issue raised in the present set of appeals is squarely covered by judgment of this Court in RFA No. 6207 of 2012 Piryavert and another vs Haryana Government and others decided on 3.11.2015, whereby the compensation for the land acquired vide same notification was further enhanced.

Since this Court has further enhanced the compensation for the acquired land, no case for reduction of compensation is made out. Accordingly for the reasons recorded in Piryavert's case (supra), the present appeals are dismissed. Consequently, the accompanying applications are also dismissed.

17.11.2015

vs

(Rajesh Bindal)
Judge