

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

RFA No. 1164 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of decision: 7.12.2015

State of Haryana and another

.. Appellants

v.

Jagpal Singh and another

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BINDAL

Present: Mr. Arun Beniwal, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

Mr. Kul Bhushan Sharma, Advocate for the landowners in
RFA Nos. 1167, 1172, 1183, 1187 and 1189 of 2015.

Mr. Harinder Pal Singh Ishar, Advocate for the landowners
in RFA No. 1186 of 2015.

...

Rajesh Bindal J.

This order will dispose of RFA Nos. 1164 to 1189 of 2015, as
the same arise out of a common acquisition.

The State is in appeal seeking reduction in the amount of
compensation awarded to the landowners for the acquired land.

Briefly, the facts of the case are that vide notification dated
14.8.2008, issued under Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (for
short, 'the Act'), State of Haryana sought to acquire the land, situated in
village Palwali, Tehsil & District Faridabad for development and utilization
thereof for master plan roads of Sectors 75 to 89, Faridabad. The same was

followed by notification dated 30.8.2008, issued under Section 6 of the Act. The Land Acquisition Collector (for short, 'the Collector'), vide award No. 7 dated 27.8.2010, assessed the compensation @ ₹ 42,00,000/- per acre. Aggrieved against the award of the Collector, the landowners filed objections which were referred to the learned court below, who keeping in view the material placed on record by the parties, determined the fair value of the acquired land @ ₹ 1,118/- per square yard.

Learned counsel for the State fairly conceded that the claim made in the present appeals is squarely covered by the judgment of this court in RFA No. 7108 of 2012—Rampal and others v. Land Acquisition Collector and another, decided on 16.9.2015, whereby compensation for the land acquired was further enhanced.

Learned counsel for the landowners did not dispute the aforesaid factual position.

Accordingly, for the detailed reasons recorded in Rampal's case (supra), the present appeals are dismissed. Consequently, the accompanying applications are also dismissed.

(Rajesh Bindal)
Judge

7.12.2015
mk