

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

RFA No.5013 of 2013 (O&M)
LAC No.334 of 2011
Date of Decision: 06.11.2015

Umed Singh

..... Appellant

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BINDAL

Present: Mr. Vikram Punia, Advocate,
Mr. Vinod Kumar, Advocate for
Mr. Harkesh Manuja, Advocate,
Mr. S. P. Khatri, Advocate and
Mr. S. R. Hooda, Advocate
for the landowner(s).

Mr. Shivendra Swaroop, AAG, Haryana.

RAJESH BINDAL J.

This order will dispose of RFA Nos. 5013 to 5015, 5343 to 5348,
5722 to 5724, 5782, 6174, 6398 to 6404, 6867, 7132, 7270 and 7281 of 2013;

RFA Nos. 365, 546, 674, 848, 849, 2136, 3703, 4305, 5002, 5436,
7355 and 9707 of 2014;

RFA No.3118 of 2015,

as the same arise of common acquisition. The landowner(s) are
before this Court seeking enhancement of compensation for the acquired land.

Brief facts of the case are that the Haryana Government vide
notification dated 18.10.2002, issued under Section 4 of the Land Acquisition
Act, 1894 (for short 'the Act') sought to acquire 166.15 acre in village
Shahanjanpur and 18.33 acres of land in village Ravli for development and
utilization of thereof as residential, commercial and institutional area for
Sector 16, Sonapat. Notification under Section 6 of the Act was issued on

08.09.2003. The Land Acquisition Collector (for short, 'the Collector') vide different awards No.2 and 3 dated 05.09.2005 assessed the market value of the acquired land @ ₹ 12,50,000/- per acre. The landowners feeling dissatisfied with the awards of the Collector, filed objections. Considering the material placed on record, the learned Court below vide its award dated 30.04.2013, determined the market value of the land @ ₹ 414/- per square yard. It is this award which has been impugned in the present appeals.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from Satbir Singh, Kanungo, who is present in Court, submitted that for the acquisition in question, fresh award has been passed by the Collector under the provisions of Right to Fair Compensation and Transparency in Land Acquisition, Rehabilitation and Resettlement Act, 2013. He has furnished a copy of the fresh award passed by the Collector on 05.06.2015 in Court.

In view of the fact that fresh award has been passed by the Collector pertaining to the same acquisition, the prayer made in the present appeals has been rendered infructuous.

Ordered accordingly.

06.11.2015

rittu

(Rajesh Bindal)

Judge