

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

R.S.A.No.3420 of 2012 (O&M)

Date of Decision: February 18, 2015

Gurcharan Singh & others

...Appellants

Versus

Ram Singh & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL, JUDGE

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

**Present: Mr.Raman Mohinder Sharma, Advocate,
for the appellants.**

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

This is a Regular Second Appeal at the instance of the appellant-defendants in respect of a finding rendered on issue No.3, whereas on the contrary, the suit of the respondent-plaintiffs for permanent injunction was dismissed.

In essence, there was no decree against the appellant-defendants. There should have been a cause of action to assail the judgment and decree.

Against the aforementioned finding on issue No.3, which is stated to have not been pressed by the appellant-defendants, an appeal was filed. The same was also dismissed and hence the present Regular Second Appeal.

Mr.Ram Mohinder Sharma, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the appellant-defendants submits that in another proceedings between the parties to the lis, the appellant-defendants have been able to prove the execution of the sale deed dated 25.7.1961 and in support of his submissions, relied upon the judgment and decree dated 27.8.2011 (Annexure A-2).

The present appeal is disposed of de hors of the fact that the appellant-defendants did not press the issue, would not come into their way of ownership and title acquired by way of sale deed.

February 18, 2015
ramesh

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE