

LPA No.908 of 2015 (O&M)
LPA No.907 of 2015 (O&M)

[1]

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(1)	LPA No.908 of 2015 (O&M) Date of decision:17.06.2015
Shakuntla	...Appellant
Versus	
Suman and others	...Respondents
(2)	LPA No.907 of 2015 (O&M) Date of decision:17.06.2015
Shakuntla	...Appellant
Versus	
State of Haryana and others	...Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rakesh Kumar Jain
Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ramendra Jain

Present: Ms. Puja Chopra, Advocate,
for the appellant.

Rakesh Kumar Jain, J.

This order shall dispose of two appeals bearing LPA Nos.907 and 908 of 2015 as both are inter-related. However, for the sake of convenience, the facts are being extracted from LPA No.908 of 2015.

Although learned counsel for the appellant has argued vehemently that the learned Single Judge has erred in passing the order dated 27.05.2015, but we have found that an innocuous order has been passed directing the Director, Women and Child Development Department, Haryana, to assign the duties of Anganwari Workers to both petitioner-Shakuntla and respondent no.1-Suman at all the centres, namely, Nayagaon,

LPA No.908 of 2015 (O&M)
LPA No.907 of 2015 (O&M)

[2]

Khajpur and Gurukul, keeping in view the necessity of the work. The Director was given liberty that in case there are two active centres at Nayagaon and Khajpur, the the petitioner and respondent no.1 may not be assigned duties as Anganwari Workers in the Gurukul centre and also directed that the order of the Court be complied with within a period of one month from the date of receipt of copy of the order.

In view thereof, there is hardly any reason for this Court to interfere in the well considered order dated 27.05.2015 passed by the learned Single Judge.

Dismissed.

(Rakesh Kumar Jain)
Judge

June 17, 2015
vinod*

(Ramendra Jain)
Judge