

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Letters Patent Appeal No.903 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of Decision: July 03, 2015

Rajender Kumar and others

.....Appellants

versus

The Managing Director, Dakshin Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam
Limited and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT.
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE P.B.BAJANTHRI.

Present: Mr.Pankaj Maini, Advocate, for the appellants.

:-

1. *Whether Reporters of Local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Surya Kant, J. (Oral)

The instant letters patent appeal is preferred against the interlocutory order dated 12.05.2015 whereby learned Single Judge has admitted the appellants' writ petition but has vacated the stay as a result whereof the appellants stand reverted to their original cadre of Assistant Lineman from Shift Attendant, namely, the post against which they were permanently transferred.

We have heard learned counsel for the appellants and gone through the records.

We are satisfied that no interference in the

discretion exercised by learned Single Judge is called for. However, in the interest of justice, liberty is granted to the appellants to seek out of turn hearing of the writ petition after a period of one year.

Ordered accordingly.

[SURYA KANT]
JUDGE

July 03, 2015
mohinder

[P.B.BAJANTHRI]
JUDGE