

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

LPA No.814 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of Decision:- 29.05.2015.

Rajinder Kumar

.....Appellant

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA KANT
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI**

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. Om Parkash Sharma, Advocate and
Mr. Bikram Chaudhary, Advocate for the appellant.

SURYA KANT, J. (ORAL)

1.) Notice of motion to respondent Nos.1 to 4 only. However, on our asking, Mr. R.D. Sharma, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana accepts notice on their behalf. In view of the nature of order which we propose to pass, there shall be liberty to respondent No.7 to approach this Court and seek modification of the subject-order, if need be.

2.) This Letters Patent Appeal is directed against the order dated May 18, 2015, whereby learned Single Judge has stayed operation of the order dated 09.04.2015 whereby respondent No.7

was ordered to be removed from the office of President of Municipal Committee, Ladwa. It may be mentioned here that the 7th respondent was ordered to be removed from the office of President as she had allegedly misused her powers in the matter of transfer of immoveable property of Municipal Committee in favour of her close relations. It is further contended by learned counsel for the appellant that by virtue of the order passed by learned Single Judge, respondent No.7 will successfully complete her term as a precedent of the Municipal Committee.

3.) Having heard learned counsel for the appellant and the State counsel, it appears that the order passed by learned Single Judge does not warrant any interference. Resultantly, respondent No.7 will continue to hold the office of President of the Municipal Committee but during the remainder of her presidentship, she shall not transfer any immoveable property in favour of any person by way of any instrument of transfer, directly or indirectly, without seeking the prior approval of State Government.

4.) Disposed of.

(SURYA KANT)
JUDGE

(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE

May 29, 2015.

sandeep sethi