

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Letters Patent Appeal No.783 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of Decision: July 16, 2015

Amandeep Singh

.....Appellant

versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT.
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE P.B.BAJANTHRI.

Present: Mr.Sanjeev Kumar, Advocate, for
Mr.H.S.Batth, Advocate, for the appellant.

:-

1. Whether Reporters of Local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Surya Kant, J. (Oral)

This letters patent appeal is directed against the order dated 24.04.2015 passed by learned Single Judge whereby the appellant's writ petition has been dismissed on the ground of delay and laches as well as on merits. In the said writ petition filed in the year 2015, the appellant laid challenge to the order dated 8/12.06.2009 rejecting his claim for appointment on compassionate ground.

Having heard learned counsel for the appellant at some length, we do not find any ground to interfere with the order passed by learned Single Judge. The appellant claims to be the adopted son of Bahadar Singh who died while in service on 07.12.2007. The appellant sought appointment on compassionate ground but respondent No.2-Corporation (PUNSUP) rejected his claim vide order dated 8/12.06.2009.

The appellant chose to challenge that order after a period of six years.

Learned Single Judge has taken notice of the fact that admittedly the deceased bequeathed his moveable as well as immovable properties like house, shops and land besides cash amount lying in the bank, in favour of the appellant and other family members. Thus, on merits also, the appellant does not need compassionate appointment for the sustenance of family.

No case to interfere with the order passed by learned Single Judge is made out.

Dismissed.

[SURYA KANT]
JUDGE

July 16, 2015
mohinder

[P.B.BAJANTHRI]
JUDGE