

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**LPA No.676 of 2015
Date of Decision: 06.10.2015**

Kasturi Lal KhannaAppellant

Versus

The State of Haryana & othersRespondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIVE BHALLA
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE REKHA MITTAL**

Present: Mr. Kasturi Lal Khanna, appellant, in person.

Ms. Tanisha Peshawaria, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Pritam Saini, Advocate for respondent No.2.

RAJIVE BHALLA, J (ORAL)

The appellant, who has addressed arguments in person, challenges order dated 20.08.2014 and 02.02.2015 passed by the Single Judge, primarily on the premise that the order has been passed without affording an opportunity of hearing.

The appellant states that his counsel was operated upon for a cataract but unfortunately did not inform him of the date fixed for arguments. The appellant, therefore, could not put in appearance resulting in his writ petition being decided in his absence. The appellant further submits that the petition was dismissed on the statement made by counsel for the respondent-Corporation that the Corporation has been wound up/closed.

Counsel for the respondents do not deny the

submissions made by the appellant and state that they have no objection if the impugned orders are set aside and the writ petition is restored to its original number and stage.

We have heard the appellant in person and in view of statements made by counsel for the respondents, allow the appeal, set aside the impugned orders and restore the writ petition to its original number and stage.

The writ petition be set down for hearing on 29.10.2015.

**[RAJIVE BHALLA]
JUDGE**

06.10.2015
Vinay

**[REKHA MITTAL]
JUDGE**