

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

RFA No. 4411 of 2013 (O&M)
LAC No. 638 of 20.5.2011

Date of decision : 20.11.2015

Smt. Khuzani and others

.. Appellants

vs

State of Haryana and another

.. Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rajesh Bindal

Present: Mr. Deepak Kundu, Advocate for
Mr. R. N. Lohan, and Jangjit Singh Dahiya, Advocates,
for the landowners.

Mr. Arun Beniwal, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

Rajesh Bindal, J.

This order will dispose of RFA Nos. 4411 of 2013 and 10084 of 2014, as the same arise out of common acquisition.

By filing the present appeals, the landowners are seeking enhancement of compensation for the acquired land.

Briefly, the facts of the case are that vide notification issued on 31.12.2008, published on 13.1.2009, under Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (for short, 'the Act'), State of Haryana sought to acquire land situated in villages Malsari Khera and Bhembewa, Tehsil Safidon, District Jind, for construction of Jind-Gohana-Sonepat New Railway Line. It was followed by notification dated 4.2.2009 issued under Section 6 of the Act. The Land Acquisition Collector (for short, 'the Collector') vide award nos.8 and 13 dated 31.7.2009 and 20.8.2009 respectively, assessed compensation for the acquired land @ ₹ 8,00,000/- per acre. Dissatisfied with the awards of the Collector, the land owners filed objections. On reference under Section 18 of the Act, the learned court below vide impugned awards assessed the market value of the acquired land @ ₹ 10,00,000/- per acre. The landowners of village Bhembewa were also

awarded ₹ 80,000/- per acre on account of bifurcation. These awards have been impugned in the present appeals by the landowners.

Learned counsel for the appellants very fairly submitted that the issue raised in the present appeals is squarely covered by judgment of this Court in RFA No. 4918 of 2013 Ishwar Singh and others vs State of Haryana decided on 20.10.2015, whereby the compensation for the land acquired vide same notification was further enhanced.

Learned counsel for the State did not dispute the aforesaid factual position.

Accordingly for the reasons recorded in Ishwar Singh's case (supra), the present appeals are allowed in the same terms.

20.11.2015
vs

(Rajesh Bindal)
Judge