

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

LPA No.39 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of Decision:- 06.05.2015.

Rajinder Pal Meelu

.....Appellant

Versus

The State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA KANT
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI**

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. Ajay Mahajan, Advocate for the appellant.

Mr. Aman Bahri, Additional A.G. Punjab.

Mr. Parminder Singh-I, Advocate for respondent No.4.

SURYA KANT, J. (ORAL)

1.) The instant Letters Patent Appeal assails the order dated 27.10.2014 whereby learned Single Judge has dismissed the appellant's writ petition in which he sought extension in service beyond the age of superannuation of 58 years attained on 31.10.2012. Learned Single Judge has observed that the extension of two years, even if given, would have expired on 31.10.2014. Hence, no effective relief could be granted to the appellant on 27.10.2014, when the judgment was delivered.

2.) We are fully in agreement with learned Single Judge that no relief, in such circumstances, could be granted.

3.) Faced with this, learned counsel for the appellants seeks to withdraw the appeal as well as the writ petition in order to lay a claim for grant of damages. The prayer to that extent only is accepted. We dismiss the appeal as well as the writ petition as withdrawn with liberty to the appellant to raise the claim for compensation before appropriate forum, if such a claim is permissible or admissible in law.

**(SURYA KANT)
JUDGE**

**(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE**

May 06, 2015.

sandeep sethi