

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

LPA No.325 of 2015 (O&M)

State of Haryana and others

...Appellants

Versus

Ramesh Kumar Manchanda

....Respondent

LPA No.326 of 2015 (O&M)

State of Haryana and others

...Appellants

Versus

Arjun Dev

....Respondent

LPA No.327 of 2015 (O&M)

State of Haryana and others

...Appellants

Versus

Ramesh Kumar

....Respondent

Date of Decision: September 24, 2015

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SATISH KUMAR MITTAL
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHAVIR S. CHAUHAN**

Present: Mr. Lokesh Sinhal, Addl. A.G., Haryana,
for the appellants.
Mr. Ramender Chauhan, Advocate,
for the respondents.

..

SATISH KUMAR MITTAL, J.

This order shall dispose of LPA Nos.325, 326 and 327 of 2015 which are arising from the common order dated 25.08.2014 passed by the learned Single Judge, whereby three different writ petitions (C.W.P. Nos.465, 466 and 522 of 2006) filed by the respondents for setting aside the

orders passed by the Chief Settlement Commissioner, directing the respondents to deposit the additional cost along with interest, have been allowed in terms of the judgment passed in C.W.P. No.5155 of 1988 titled as Shri Chandgi Ram and others vs. The Chief Settlement Commissioner, Haryana, Chandigarh and others, decided on 3.6.1991 and the respondents were directed to deposit the additional amount with the Tehsildar (Sales)-cum-Managing Officer, Faridabad along with interest at the rate of 9% per annum, within two months from the date of the order.

Though these appeals are barred by limitation, and along with the appeals, the appellants have filed three different applications (CM Nos.640, 643 and 646-LPA of 2015) for condonation of delay of 128 days, 128 days and 127 days, respectively, in filing the appeals, yet without taking the said delay into consideration, we have heard the learned counsel for the parties on merits and gone through the impugned order passed by the learned Single Judge.

The similar issue also came up for consideration in LPA No.195 of 2015, which has also been filed by the State of Haryana and others. The said LPA has also been dismissed by a separate order today. The controversy raised in the present LPAs and the aforesaid LPA is the same. In view of the said decision, these LPAs are also dismissed.

(SATISH KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE

September 24, 2015
vkg

(MAHAVIR S.CHAUHAN)
JUDGE