

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

R.S.A.No.2757 of 2012

Date of Decision: February 06, 2015

Mukut Lal

...Appellant

Versus

Anita & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL, JUDGE

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr.Amit Kashyap, Advocate,
Rajinder Goyal, Advocate,
for the appellant.

Mr.Sudhir Aggarwal, Advocate,
for the respondents.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

There is a written request for adjournment on behalf of the respondents with no objection from the other side.

Learned counsel for the parties are ad idem that the matter between the parties to the lis has been compromised.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the appellants seeks permission of this Court to withdraw the present Regular Second Appeal.

In view of the fact that the parties to the lis have compromised the matter, the appeal is ordered to be dismissed as withdrawn.

February 06, 2015
ramesh

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE