

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Letters Patent Appeal No.269 of 2015 (O&M)
DATE OF DECISION: 19.02.2015

Dronacharya Secondary SchoolAppellant
versus
Jai Singh and anotherRespondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE S.J. VAZIFDAR, ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. P.R. Yadav, Advocate for the appellant
..

S.J. VAZIFDAR, ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE (Oral) :

The appellant has challenged an order of the learned single Judge allowing the respondent's petition against the order of the labour court. The learned Judge enhanced the compensation of Rs.20,000/- to Rs.4 lacs together with interest at 7% per annum from the date of termination till realisation.

2. The learned Judge recorded that there was no representation on behalf of the respondent. The appellant now seeks to introduce further material before this court. The appellant states that it has reasonable grounds for not having remained present when the impugned order was passed. The appellant must, in the first instance, make an application to the learned single Judge for setting aside the order and, if necessary, to seek interim orders in such application.

3. The appeal is accordingly disposed of.

(S.J. VAZIFDAR)
ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE

19.02.2015
parkash*

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE