

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

**CM No.3347-C of 2015 in/and
RSA No.5128 of 2011 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 06.04.2015**

M/s. Tara Chand Parmod Kumar
and others

..... Appellants

Versus

State Bank of India

..... Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. Nitin Rampal, Advocate,
for the appellants.

Ms. Shilpa Thakur, Advocate,
for the respondent.

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J.

This is an application for withdrawal of the main appeal.

Both the learned counsel are ad idem that no further adjudication is required since the matter has been settled between the parties amicably through a compromise.

However, learned counsel for the appellants submits that the court fee filed by the appellants may be ordered to be returned/refunded since the adjudicatory machinery of this Court has not been put in motion to adjudicate the disputes which are now settled.

The prayer is found genuine and is accepted. The court fee

attached with the appeal is ordered to be refunded to the appellants in accordance with law.

In view of the above, the application is allowed and the main appeal is dismissed as withdrawn.

**(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE**

06.04.2015
manju