

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

RSA No.4977 of 2011 (O&M)

Date of Decision:6.7.2015

Veer Bhan

Appellant

Versus

Parveen Kumari

Respondent

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr.Justice Rajiv Narain Raina

Present: Mr.Anil Kumar Shukla, Advocate for the appellants

....

1.To be referred to the Reporters or not?

2.Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA (ORAL)

In a suit for possession by way of specific performance of the contracts dated 8.12.1999 and 22.9.2000, the learned Additional Civil Judge (Sr.Division), Kurukshetra has returned a finding vide its judgment and decree dated 4.6.2010 that the suit was filed beyond the period of limitation i.e. beyond the period of three years. The finding has been affirmed by the first appellate court. There were two agreements to sell. As per first agreement to sell (Ex.P1), the sale deed was to be executed on 16.5.2000 and as per the second agreement to sell (Ex.P-2), the sale deed was to be executed on 19.12.2000. The suit was filed in the year 2008 which is after the period of limitation of three years. No ground is made out warranting interference in the judgments and decrees of the courts below.

Dismissed.

**(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE**

**6.7.2015
MFK**