

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

LPA No.2006 of 2014 (O&M)
Date of Decision:- 14.07.2015.

Dilraj Singh Sohi and others

.....Appellants

Versus

Chandigarh Administration and others

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA KANT
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI**

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. Kunal Mulwani, Advocate for the appellants.

Mr. Amit Arora, Advocate with
Mr. Abhay Pal Singh Gill, Advocate for
U.T. Chandigarh.

Mr. Deepak Sharma, Advocate for respondent No.7.

SURYA KANT, J. (ORAL)

In view of the categoric stand/commitment given in para 5 and 6 of the affidavit dated 10/13.07.2015 filed by Additional Secretary Planning, Department of Planning, Punjab, which shall be equally binding on the U.T. Administration also, the apprehension and grievance of the appellants stand effectively redressed, calling no further direction in the matter. As and when the appellants require to carry out any repair work, they may approach the authorities which shall fully cooperate and assist in doing the needful.

Disposed of.

**(SURYA KANT)
JUDGE**

**(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE**

July 14, 2015.

sandeep sethi