

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**L.P.A.No.1468 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of Order: 06.10.2015**

Karamjit Singh

..Appellant

Versus

State Information Commissioner, Punjab and others

..Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIVE BHALLA
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE REKHA MITTAL**

**Present: Mr. Talwinder Singh, Advocate,
for the appellant.**

**RAJIVE BHALLA, J (Oral)
C.M.No.3147-LPA of 2015**

Allowed as prayed for.

L.P.A.No.1468 of 2015

The appellant, challenges order dated 02.09.2015, dismissing his writ petition.

Counsel for the appellant submits that as the respondents did not furnish the entire information, the order dismissing his writ petition is incorrect on facts, particularly as respondent no.3 has not furnished information whether Vasika No.52, was registered on 04.04.1996 or 08.04.1996.

We have heard counsel for the appellant, perused the impugned order as well as orders passed by authorities under the Right to Information Act, 2005, but are not inclined to entertain the appeal. The information required by the appellant has been furnished. In fact a perusal of the paper book reveals that the

appellant has himself appended a photostat copy of the sale deed, which was incorporated at Vasika No.52. The appellant, cannot call upon the respondents under the Right to Information Act, 2005, to furnish inferential information as their jurisdiction is confined to furnishing information available with them.

The appeal is, consequently, dismissed.

(RAJIVE BHALLA)
JUDGE

October 06, 2015
nt

(REKHA MITTAL)
JUDGE