

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Letters Patent Appeal No.1949 of 2014(O&M)
Date of Decision: January 05, 2015

Kuldeep KaurAppellant
versus
State of Punjab and othersRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT.
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH.

Present:Mr.Rajeev Anand, Advocate, for the appellant.
Mr.Rajinder Goyal, Additional AG, Punjab.
Mr.Amrit Paul, Advocate, for respondent No.2.
Mr.APS Sandhu, Advocate, for respondent No.3.
Mr.Nitin Kaushal, Adv., for respondent No.4.

-.-

1. Whether Reporters of Local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Surya Kant, J. (Oral)

Mr.Amrit Paul, learned counsel for respondent No.2-University, states at the Bar that in compliance to the order dated 15.12.2014, the matter was put up before the Equivalence Committee who has recommended that the BCA Degree obtained by the appellant from Punjab Technical University, shall be treated equivalent to BCA Degree of Guru Nanak Dev University.

In view of the above-mentioned decision taken by the Equivalence Committee, learned counsel for the parties submit that the appellant is entitled to the declaration of result of her B.Ed. Degree course in which she has appeared.

For the reasons afore-stated, the letters patent appeal is allowed, the order passed by learned Single Judge

dated 27.08.2014 is set-aside and the writ petition filed by the appellant is disposed of as having become infructuous but with a clarificatory declaration that let her result in B.Ed. Degree course be declared by Guru Nanak Dev University, within one months from the date of receiving a certified copy of this order.

Dasti.

[SURYA KANT]
JUDGE

January 05, 2015
Mohinder

[RAJ MOHAN SINGH]
JUDGE

CM No.4113 of 2014 in
LPA No.1949 of 2014

- - -

Kuldeep Kaur versus State of Punjab and others

Present : Mr.Rajeev Anand, Advocate,
for the appellant.
Mr.Rajinder Goyal, Additional AG, Punjab.
Mr.Amril Paul, Advocate,
for respondent No.2
Mr.APS Sandhu, Advocate, for respondent No.3.
Mr.Nitin Kaushal, Advocate, for respondent No.4.

* * *

For the reasons mentioned in the application, the
same is allowed subject to all just exceptions and 9 days' delay
in filing the appeal is condoned.

CM stands disposed of.

(SURYA KANT)
JUDGE

January 06, 2015
Mohinder

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE