

LPA-1382-2015

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

LPA No. 1382 of 2015

Date of Decision: 15.12.2015

DEEPAK AND OTHERS

.....Appellants

Vs

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIVE BHALLA.
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE REKHA MITTAL.**

Present: Mr. Anand Chhibbar, Senior Advocate,
with Mr. Gaurav Mankotia, Advocate,
for the appellants in LPA-1382-2015.

Mr. B.S. Sudan, Advocate,
for the appellant in LPA-1384-2015.

Ms. Munisha Gandhi, Addl. A.G. Punjab.

Mr. Puneet Jindal, Senior Advocate,
with Mr. Amrinderjit Singh, Advocate,
for respondent No. 2.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

RAJIVE BHALLA, J.(Oral)

The short point that arises for adjudication, particularly as the main dispute is pending before a learned Single Bench, is the time-frame within which the appellants can be asked to sit for a Punjabi type-test.

We have heard counsel for the parties. The matter has been pending for the last more than two months. The appellants must be suitably prepared to sit for the Punjabi type-test particularly as their original grievance was that they

LPA-1382-2015

could not prepare for the test which was ordered to be held within 3 days. We, therefore, dispose of the appeal by directing the concerned respondent(s) to ensure that the Punjabi type-test is held in the last week of January 2016.

It is clarified that this order shall not be construed to be an expression of opinion on the merits of dispute that is pending before a learned Single Bench.

**[RAJIVE BHALLA]
JUDGE**

**[REKHA MITTAL]
JUDGE**

December 15, 2015
Suresh Kumar