

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.

Letters Patent Appeal No.1344 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 14.9.2015

Rampal ..Appellant

versus

State of Haryana and others ..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIVE BHALLA
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NAVITA SINGH

Present: Mr. Rajbir Singh Arya, Advocate,
for the appellant.

RAJIVE BHALLA, J. (ORAL)

CM No.2864-LPA of 2015

Prayer in this application is to condone delay of 31 days
in filing the appeal.

Heard.

For reasons stated in the application and arguments
addressed, application is allowed and delay of 31 days in filing the
appeal is condoned.

Letters Patent Appeal No.1344 of 2015

The appellant challenges order dated 10.7.2015
dismissing his writ petition as well as orders passed by revenue
authorities appointing respondent no.4 as Lambardar.

Counsel for the appellant submits that revenue authorities
did not examine the respective merits and as respondent no.4 is
facing a criminal trial, his appointment as Lambardar stands vitiated.

We have heard counsel for the appellant, perused the impugned order as well as orders passed by revenue authorities and find no reason to entertain the appeal. The power to appoint a Lambardar vests with the Collector. The appointment, so made, can only be interfered by an appellate/revisional authorities or by this court if selection is contrary to the rules, perverse or arbitrary. The appellant's contention that respondent no.4 is facing a criminal case registered under Sections 323/325 and 506 of the Indian Penal Code has been considered and dealt with by the authorities as well as in the impugned order. Even otherwise, criminal case has been registered only under sections 323/325 and 506 of the Indian Penal Code.

Consequently, finding no merit, the appeal is dismissed.

(RAJIVE BHALLA)
JUDGE

(NAVITA SINGH)
JUDGE

14.9.2015
VK