

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Letters Patent Appeal No.1798 of 2014(O&M)
Date of Decision: January 15, 2015

Union of IndiaAppellant
versus
Ch.Devi Lal College of Ayurveda and others
.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT.
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH.

Present:Mr.Sukhdeep Singh Sandhu, Advocate,
for the appellant.
Mr.Rajiv Atma Ram, Senior Advocate with
Mr.Arjun Pratap Atma Ram, Advocate,
for the caveator–respondent.

-.-

1. Whether Reporters of Local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Surya Kant, J. (Oral)

The order dated 06.10.2014 passed by learned Single Judge approving the intake of additional 10 seats, i.e., increase from 50 to 60 in the respondent-Ayurveda College in BAMS course for the session 2014-15, is under challenge in this letters patent appeal at the instance of Union of India.

The respondent-Institution being on caveat, it was informed by its learned counsel on November 04, 2014 that the order passed by learned Single Judge approving the increase of seats from 50 to 60 has been given effect by the State Government and the University and consequently, 60 students have already been admitted.

The above-stated fact is not disputed by learned counsel for the appellant also.

We have heard learned counsel for the parties and gone through the order passed by learned Single Judge.

Since additional intake has been accorded by learned Single Judge keeping in view the notification dated 18.07.2012 issued by the Central Council of Indian Medicine (CCIM) and the respondent-Institutions have been found possessing the requisite facilities and infrastructure for 60 seats, it does not appear to be a fit case to interfere with the order passed by learned Single Judge.

Dismissed.

[SURYA KANT]
JUDGE

January 15, 2015
Mohinder

[RAJ MOHAN SINGH]
JUDGE