

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

LPA No. 1232 of 2015

DATE OF DECISION : 21.08.2015

Ajay and others

.... APPELLANTS

Versus

Director General, Land Records, Haryana and another

.... RESPONDENTS

CORAM :- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SATISH KUMAR MITTAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHAVIR S. CHAUHAN

Present : Ms. Kshitija Mittal, Advocate,
for the appellants.

* * *

SATISH KUMAR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

This intra-court appeal under Clause X of the Letters Patent has been filed against the order dated 27.07.2015 passed by the learned Single Judge, whereby the writ petition (CWP No. 15049 of 2015) filed by the appellants seeking direction to the respondents to disclose the total number of posts of Patwari from General category, which remained unfilled from the selection list dated 26.07.2014, has been dismissed.

We have heard learned counsel for the appellants and have gone through the impugned order.

The learned Single Judge, while observing that no person junior to the appellants in merit, has been appointed from the waiting list, therefore, no cause of action arises to them, has dismissed the writ petition.

During the course of hearing, before us, learned counsel for the appellants does not dispute that no person junior to the appellants in the waiting list has been given appointment. However, she only argued that the respondents be directed to supply the information as to how many persons from the waiting list have been appointed. As far as the waiting list is concerned, in this regard the appellants have already filed an application under the Right to Information Act, 2005 for supplying the detail of unfilled posts as well as the list of candidates of waiting list to whom the offer of appointment has been made. The said information can be made available to the appellants in due course. Thus, we do not find any ground to issue direction to the respondents to supply the information as to how many persons have been given appointment. However, as and when any person junior to the appellants in the waiting list is given appointment, ignoring the claim of the appellants, the appellants will have a fresh cause of action to challenge the same.

No merit. Dismissed.

(SATISH KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE

August 21, 2015
ndj

(MAHAVIR S. CHAUHAN)
JUDGE