

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB
AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

LPA No. 1088 of 2015. [O&M]

Date of Decision: 27th July, 2015.

Romesh Garg

Appellant through

Mr. R.K.Arora, Advocate

Versus

State of Punjab & Ors.

Respondents through

Mr. Aman Bahri, Addl.AG, Haryana.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA KANT

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B.BAJANTHRI

- 1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?**
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

SURYA KANT, J. [ORAL]

Notice of motion.

On our asking, Mr. Aman Bahri, learned Additional AG,
Punjab, accepts notice.

Learned counsel for the parties are *ad-idem* that the point in issue raised in this appeal stands answered by our order dated 20.05.2015 passed in **LPA No. 776 of 2015 [Ashok Arora Vs. State of Punjab & Ors.]**. In fact, the previous appeal as well as the instant appeal have arisen out of a common order passed by the learned Single Judge whereby two writ petitions were dismissed.

Learned counsel for the appellant, however, submits that the appellant has been meanwhile exonerated and the charges have been dropped by the State Government vide order dated 03.06.2015 [RA-2].

If that is so, we dispose of this appeal for the reasons already assigned by us in ***Ashok Arora's case [supra]*** with a further

direction to the Competent Authority to consider the appellant's claim for retention/continuance in service beyond the age of superannuation in accordance with the Government Policy/rules, as early as possible but not later than two months from the date a certified copy of this order is received.

Disposed of. Dasti.

Since we have disposed of the appeal on merits, there is no necessity to go into the question of delay in filing thereof.

(SURYA KANT)
JUDGE

July 27, 2015.
dinesh

(P.B.BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE