

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

LPA No. 1569 of 2014
Date of decision: 01.10.2015

Joginder SinghAppellant
VS.

PEC University of Technology, Chandigarh and others
..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE S.S. SARON
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Neeraj Sharma, Advocate
for the appellant.

Mr. R.S. Bains, Advocate
for the respondents.

S.S. SARON, J.

This letters patent appeal has been filed by the appellant Joginder Singh against the judgment and order dated 11.09.2014 passed by the learned Single Judge whereby the petition filed by the appellant seeking a direction to consider his claim for admission in M.E. (Structure or Transportation Engineering) Non-Gate (Part Time) in the session 2013-14 as per his merit by treating him eligible, has been dismissed.

The petitioner was found ineligible for admission to M.E. at the counselling for the session 2013-2014 that was held for admission to the PEC University of Technology (Erstwhile Punjab Engineering College), Sector-12, Chandigarh (respondent No.1). However, he was given provisional admission.

Notice of motion was issued in the appeal by a Division Bench of this Court on 18.09.2014 for 22.09.2014. The case was taken up on 22.09.2014 and this Court clarified that in case the appellant succeeded, the respondent authorities would be required

to carry out the internal assessment to ensure that his career is not affected. Thereafter in terms of order dated 29.10.2014, the appellant was given liberty, without prejudice to his legal rights to submit a representation to the respondents that the qualification possessed by him continues to be recognized notwithstanding the Division Bench judgment of this Court in the case of Kartar Singh Vs. Union of India and others in CWP No. 1640 of 2008 decided on 06.11.2012.

The representation submitted by the appellant has now been considered by the Registrar of PEC University of Technology (Erstwhile Punjab Engineering College), Sector-12, Chandigarh (respondent No.1).

Mr. R.S. Bains, Advocate appearing for the respondents, has submitted a letter dated 23.09.2015 from the Registrar addressed to the appellant, in which it is stated that his representation dated 21.11.2014 stands disposed of and he has been allowed to continue his candidature in M.E. (Structure), Non-Gate (Part Time) programme.

In view of the said letter dated 23.09.2015, this appeal has been rendered infructuous. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that he has no objection if the same is disposed of as infructuous.

Accordingly, the appeal is disposed of as infructuous.

(S. S. Saron)
Judge

01.10.2015
manoj

(Amol Rattan Singh)
Judge