

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

LPA No. 1029 of 2015 (O&M)

DATE OF DECISION : 04.09.2015

Harish Kumar

.... APPELLANT

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.... RESPONDENTS

CORAM :- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SATISH KUMAR MITTAL

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHAVIR S. CHAUHAN

Present : Mr. Ravindra Jain, Advocate,
for the appellant.

* * *

SATISH KUMAR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

This intra-court appeal under Clause X of the Letters Patent has been filed against the order dated 12.02.2015 passed by the learned Single Judge, whereby the writ petition (CWP No. 24698 of 2013) filed by the appellant challenging the action of the respondents in not adopting and granting the benefit of Grant-in-aid Scheme to the appellant, has been disposed of relegating the appellant to his alternative remedy before the Educational Tribunal.

Though there is delay of 59 days in filing the appeal and the appellant has filed application (CM No. 2138-LPA of 2015) for condoning the said delay, yet we have heard learned counsel for the appellant and have gone through the impugned order.

Learned counsel does not dispute the availability of alternative remedy to the appellant as well as the involvement of disputed questions of facts. In view of this admitted position, learned counsel has not been able to point out any illegality in the impugned order passed by the learned Single Judge.

No merit. Dismissed.

(SATISH KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE

September 04, 2015
ndj

(MAHAVIR S. CHAUHAN)
JUDGE