

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

RSA No.4230 of 2011 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 20.03.2015

Amrik Singh alias Mika and others

..... Appellants

Versus

Satwinder Singh alias Roby and others

..... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. K.G. Chaudhry, Advocate,
for the appellants.

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J.(Oral)

CM No.12241-C of 2011

CM is allowed as prayed for.

CM No.12242-C of 2011

For the reasons stated in the application, delay of 172 days in refileing the appeal is condoned.

CM No.12243-C of 2011

There was deficiency in the Court fee at the time of presentation of the appeal due to a change in law and the deficient Court fee has been made good. Making good the deficient Court fee will relate back to the filing of the appeal to save the appeal from bar of limitation.

CM No.12244-C of 2011

The application is allowed. LR's are taken on record. In case, any of the LR's feels aggrieved, he is free to make an application in this appeal.

Main case

This is defendants' appeal against the judgment and decrees of the Courts below concurrently awarding compensation to the plaintiffs for injury caused by the defendants to the victim member of the family of the plaintiffs. An FIR was lodged against the offender under various sections of the Indian Penal Code including Section 307.

Having read both the judgments, I have no doubt that the amount of compensation awarded is just, fair and reasonable and has been granted for good and sufficient reasons.

There are pure questions of facts involved in this case with no question of law much less substantial arising for determination in Second Appeal.

Therefore, no interference is called for and the appeal is ordered to stand dismissed.

(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE

20.03.2015
manju