

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA

AT CHANDIGARH

RSA No.1331 of 2012 (O&M)

Date of decision: 20.08.2015

Bhushan Lal

..... Appellant

versus

Geeta Dhaliwal and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr.Justice Kuldip Singh

Present: Mr.Harsh Goyal, Advocate for
Mr.Tribhuwan Singla, Advocate for the appellant

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the appellant has stated that he is unable to furnish correct address of respondents.

Perusal of file shows that the present appellant had filed a suit for permanent injunction for restraining the defendants -respondents from interfering in possession of Plot No.55, situated at new grain market, Municipal Council, Barnala, claiming that it was given on rent to him by defendant No.1 and the plaintiff is in possession being a statutory tenant. The suit was dismissed by learned Civil Judge, Junior Division, Barnala vide judgment and decree dated 27.9.2011. The appeal against the said judgment and decree was dismissed on 8.2.2012 by the learned Additional District Judge, Barnala.

In this case, there is a finding of facts only. No law point is involved. Plaintiff claims to be the tenant and seeks injunction against owner - defendant No.1, whose whereabouts are not known to him. No substantial question of law is involved in the present appeal.

Hence, the appeal is dismissed.

In view of disposal of the appeal, all applications pending also stand disposed of.

20.08.2015

gk

**(Kuldip Singh)
Judge**