

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

RSA No.3923 of 2011
Date of decision: 16.09.2015

Sharda Dabas

... Appellant

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Sushil Jain, Advocate, for the appellant.
Mr. Ramesh Kumar, Asst. AG, Haryana.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

ARUN PALLI J. (Oral)

Learned State counsel submits that all the retiral benefits have since been released to the appellant, nothing substantive survives in this petition.

Learned counsel for the appellant fairly concedes this position and submits that the appeal be disposed of as having become infructuous.

Ordered, accordingly.

September 16, 2015
Rajan

(Arun Palli)
Judge