

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

253

**RSA No.1065 of 2012(O & M)
Date of Decision:10.08.2015**

Om Parkash Mangla

....appellant

Versus

Sunil Kumar and others

.....respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

- 1.Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgement?**
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

Present: Mr.Amit Jain, Advocate
for the appellant

Mr.Sanjay Vij, Advocate
for the respondents

ARUN PALLI, J.(ORAL):-

Learned counsel for the parties are ad idem that pursuant to a settlement arrived at between the parties, the possession of the suit property has since been delivered to the appellant, nothing substantive survives in the appeal and the same be dismissed as having become infructuous.

Dismissed as infructuous.

10.08.2015
neenu

**(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE**