

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

FAO No.M-295 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of decision: 23.07.2015.

Naveen Malhotra

.....Appellant

versus

Batika

.... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M. JEYAPPAUL
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RAJ RAHUL GARG

Present: Mr. Akashdeep Singh, Advocate for the appellant.

Mr. Ashwani Talwar, Advocate for the respondent.

M. JEYAPPAUL, J.

Both the parties along with their respective counsel are present.

It is submitted by the counsel appearing on either side, in the background of compromise Annexure P-9 filed before the Court, that a sum of ₹16,00,000/- in cash instead of demand draft was paid by the appellant to the respondent and the same was duly received by the respondent. Further, it is also submitted that in terms of the compromise Annexure P9, all the jewellery articles lying with the respondent as per Annexure-A to the compromise deed shall be returned by her to the appellant.

Counsel appearing for the appellant submits that the adverse observations/findings made by the trial Court against the appellant may not be capitalised by the respondent in any future proceedings. Both the parties have agreed to the terms and conditions of the compromise deed Annexure

P-9.

Therefore, permission is granted to withdraw the appeal as sought for.

Appeal stands dismissed as withdrawn.

The respondent is directed not to use in any future proceedings the observations/findings so made by the trial Court against the appellant.

(M. JEYAPPAUL)
JUDGE

23.07.2015
Manoj Bhutani

(RAJ RAHUL GARG)
JUDGE