

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**RSA No.3535 of 2011 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 12.03.2015**

Kamlesh Devi ... Appellant

Versus

Jai Parkash ... Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: None.

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J.(Oral)

Process fee has not been filed, therefore, notice could not be issued to the respondent. No steps have been taken to serve the respondent. A track record of the appeal shows that no one caused appearance on behalf of the appellant on 5.10.2011, 1.12.2011, 8.5.2013, 26.9.2013, and 2.4.2014 etc. If this is the diligence shown in prosecuting the appeal, then I am afraid the fate of the appeal should be sealed with dismissal for non-prosecution. The appeals are not meant to be filed casually and to be kept pending for years without effective appearances, only to waste the time of this Court. I refrain myself from imposing costs on the appellant for acting in the manner she has.

Dismissed for non-prosecution.

12.03.2015
monika

**(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE**