

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

RFA No. 6381 of 2012 (O&M)

Date of decision : 20.5.2015

K. S. Sandhu and sons

.. Appellant

versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rajesh Bindal

Present: Mr. Sachin Mittal, Advocate, for the landowners
in RFA No. 5245 of 2013.

Mr. Raj Kumar Makkad, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

Rajesh Bindal, J.

This order will dispose of RFA Nos. 6381 of 2012, 5245 and 6366 of 2013, as the same arise out of common acquisition.

The present appeals have been filed by the landowners seeking enchantment of compensation awarded for the acquired land.

Briefly, the facts of the case are that State of Haryana vide notification dated 29.1.2003, issued under Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (for short, 'the Act') sought to acquire land situated in villages Narsingpur and Harsaru, Tehsil and District Gurgaon for setting up of Industrial Complex to be planned and developed as Special Economic Zone ('SEZ') Phase-I. The same was followed by notification dated 28.1.2004, issued under Section 6 of the Act. The Land Acquisition Collector (for short, 'the Collector'), vide award dated 27.1.2006, assessed the market value of the acquired land @ ₹ 15,00,000/- per acre for the land pertaining to revenue estate of village Narsingpur and @ ₹ 12,50,000/- per acre for the land pertaining to revenue estates of villages Mohamadpur Jharsa and Harsaru. Aggrieved against the awards of the Collector, the land owners filed objections which were referred to the learned court below, who keeping in view the material placed on record by the parties, assessed the market value of the acquired land @ ₹ 40,95,000/- per acre for the land

pertaining to revenue estate of village Narsingpur. Vide another award, the learned Reference Court assessed the market value of the acquired land @ ₹ 31,50,000/- per acre for the land pertaining to revenue estate of village Harsaru. The aforesaid awards have been impugned by the landowners in the present set of appeals for further enhancement.

Learned counsel for the landowners submitted that the claim made in the present set of appeals is squarely covered by judgments of this Court in RFA No. 2174 of 2012 - Smt. Savitri Devi vs The Land Acquisition Collector, Gurgaon and others, decided on 23.9.2014, and of Hon'ble the Supreme Court in Civil Appeal No. 3412 of 2015 Sachin and others vs State of Haryana and others, decided on 31.3.2015.

Learned counsel for the State did not dispute the aforesaid factual position.

Accordingly, for the reasons recorded in Smt. Savitri Devi and Sachin's cases (supra), the appeals are disposed of in the same terms.

20.5.2015
vs

(Rajesh Bindal)
Judge