

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

FAO No. 7215 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of decision: December 1, 2015

Ikbal Singh

...Appellant

Versus

Sunil Kumar and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

- 1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?**
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

Present: Mr. Surinder Singh Virk, Advocate,
for the appellant.

K. KANNAN, J. (Oral)

CM No. 22693 CII of 2015

For the reasons stated in the application, delay in re-filing the appeal is condoned.

Main case

1. The appeal is for enhancement of compensation for the injuries sustained by the appellant, who was working as a mason. The Tribunal has provided the entire medical expenses brought through the bills, allowed ₹ 10,000/- for diet, assessed ₹10,000/- for pain and suffering, ₹10,000/- for transportation and ₹18,000/- for permanent disability on account of the fact that the fracture malunion caused 9% functional disability. The Tribunal also provided for loss of earning capacity during his treatment. All the heads of claim have been properly addressed and there is no scope for enhancement.

2. The appeal is dismissed.

December 1, 2015
prem

(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE