

IN THE PUNJAB & HARYANA HIGH COURT AT CHANDIGARH

FAO-6736-2015(O&M)

Date of decision : 05.10.2015

Mohan Lal

... Appellant

Versus

Smt.Neelam Devi

... Respondent

***CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIVE BHALLA
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE REKHA MITTAL***

Present: Mr.Mani Ram Verma, Advocate
for the appellant.

RAJIVE BHALLA, J.(ORAL)

CM-21066-CII-2015

Prayer in this application is to condone delay of 20 days in filing the appeal.

Heard. For the reasons stated in the application and arguments addressed, the application is allowed and delay of 20 days in filing the appeal is condoned.

FAO-6736-2015

The appellant before us challenges the order dated 13.07.2015 passed under Section 24 of the Hindu Marriage Act 1955 fixing an amount of ₹3000/- as maintenance pendent lite.

We have heard counsel for the appellant but are not inclined to interfere in view of the paltry amount awarded as maintenance. A perusal of the impugned order reveals that the trial Court has based its findings on

relevant facts, on record. Even otherwise, the appellant on the one hand has filed petition under Section 9 of the Act but on the other is not ready to pay maintenance @ ₹3000/- p.m.

Dismissed.

(RAJIVE BHALLA)
JUDGE

(REKHA MITTAL)
JUDGE

October 05, 2015.

Davinder Kumar