

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

RSA No.1205 of 2011 (O&M)
Date of decision : 10.12.2015

Gurbachan Singh

...Appellant

Versus

Lakha Singh and ors.

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment ?
2. To be referred to reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: Mr. Ravi Gakhar, Advocate for
Mr. Jagdish Manchanda, Advocate for the appellant.

Ms. Sukhmani Tiwana, Advocate for respondent No.1.

Mr. Sushil Bhardwaj, Advocate for the respondent No.2.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

Both the parties are not averse to the situation that parties to the lis have compromised. FIR registered against the appellant-defendants is sought to be quashed on the basis of the compromise.

Mr. Sushil Bhardwaj, Advocate for respondent No.2 and Ms. Sukhmani Tiwana, Advocate for respondent No.1 does not dispute this fact.

Keeping in view the aforementioned facts that parties have already compromised, no cause of action survives in the present appeal.

Appeal is also rendered as disposed of as infructuous.

It is made clear that parties shall be bound by the compromise.

Liberty is granted to the appellant to revive the case in case it is found that compromise had not actually been effected or FIR is not quashed on the ground of compromise.

10.12.2015

pawan

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**