

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA

AT CHANDIGARH

**CM No.21658-CII of 2014 and
CM No.21659-CII of 2014 in/ and
FAO No.8045 of 2014 (O&M)
Date of decision: 31.07.2015**

Suman

..... Appellant

versus

Shri Bhagwan and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr.Justice Kuldip Singh

Present: Mr.Chandeep Singh, Advocate for
Mr.Sandeep K. Sharma, Advocate for the appellant

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral)

**CM Nos.21658-CII of 2014 and
CM Nos.21659-CII of 2014**

These applications have been filed for condonation of 1 day delay in filing and 62 days delay in re-filing the appeal. The applications are accompanied by affidavits. For the reasons mentioned therein, the same are allowed and 1 day delay in filing and 62 days delay in re-filing the appeal stands condoned.

FAO No.8045 of 2014

Challenged in the present appeal is to the order dated 14.11.2013 passed by the Motor Accident Claims Tribunal, Rohtak (for short, 'the Tribunal). In a motor vehicular accident, Suman

appellant suffered simple injuries. The Tribunal allowed compensation to the tune of Rs.20,000/-.

Learned counsel for the appellant has not denied that the appellant suffered only simple injuries and was discharged on the same day. No medical evidence was produced to substantiate ground to how much was spent on the treatment. Therefore, for pain and suffering and shock, Rs.20,000/- is just compensation. No ground to interfere.

The appeal is dismissed.

31.07.2015
gk

(Kuldip Singh)
Judge