

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**FAO No. 6444 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of decision: 16.11.2015**

Veena Kumari

... Appellant

versus

Om Parkash and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

Present: Mr. Saurabh Bhardwaj, Advocate for the appellant.

K.Kannan, J.

The claimant who suffered in injury that resulted in 5% disability has awarded Rs. 15,000/- for loss of amenity, Rs. 20,00/- for transportation, attendant charges etc and Rs. 15,000/- for pain and suffering and medical expenses to the extent that he could not claim refund. The contention is that the claimant was on leave of absence for two months and there was a loss of income and leave was not given to him. The counsel would admit at the time of the argument that he had been paid his salary but his apprehension is that the amount would be recovered after his retirement. There is no cause for such an apprehension and if there has been actually no monetary loss during the period of his leave it is not possible to make an award for a presumed apprehension of deduction post his retirement.

All the necessary heads of claims have been duly considered and I will find no reason to interfere with the same.

The first appeal is dismissed with above observations.

**(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE**

16.11.2015
kv