

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**FAO No. 7750 of 2014 (O&M)
DATE OF DECISION :- May 05, 2015**

Balwinder Kaur @ Maano

...Appellant.

Versus

General Public

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE M.JEYAPPAUL

HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE RAJ RAHUL GARG

Present:- Mr. Saurabh Bhatia, Advocate for the appellant.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment? Yes/No
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not? Yes/No
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest? Yes/No

M.JEYAPPAUL, J.

C.M. No. 20605-CII of 2014

For the reasons set out in the application, the application is allowed.

C.M. No. 20606-CII of 2014

For the reasons set out in the application, the application is allowed.

FAO No. 7750 of 2014

Heard.

It is effectively submitted that intending purchaser could not be fixed at the time when permission to sell the share of the minor was granted by the competent Court. Now the counsel appearing for the appellant would submit that even if a weeks' time is granted, as intending purchaser has already entered into an agreement with the appellant, the entire sale transaction in terms of the permission originally granted by the Court would be completed.

Time till 15.5.2015 is granted for execution of the sale deed qua the share of the minor.

The appeal is ordered accordingly.

A copy of this order be given 'Dasti' under the signatures of Court Secretary of this Court.

**(M. JEYAPPAUL)
JUDGE**

**(RAJ RAHUL GARG)
JUDGE**

May 05, 2015
p.singh