

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB
AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

LPA No. 1764 of 2013. [O&M]

Date of Decision: 27th July, 2015.

S.K.Sukhija

Petitioner through

Mr. Amit Arora, Advocate

Versus

State Bank of India & Ors. Respondents.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA KANT

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

- 1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?**
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

SURYA KANT, J. [ORAL]

Counsel for the appellant states that during the pendency of the appeal, the appellant has unfortunately passed away and his legal heirs have been brought on record. Keeping in view the mitigating circumstances, namely, that after the death of the appellant, his widow is left with no source of sustenance, the appellants [legal heirs of the deceased appellant] want to withdraw this appeal with liberty to approach the Competent Authority in the Bank for sympathetic reconsideration on the quantum of punishment awarded to the deceased employee.

Ordered accordingly.

(**SURYA KANT**)
JUDGE

July 27, 2015.
dinesh

(P.B.BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE