

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

LPA No.1515 of 2013 (O&M)
Date of Decision:- 02.07.2015.

Simarjit Locham

.....Appellant

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA KANT
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI**

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. Amit Jain, Advocate for the appellant.

Mr. Aman Bahri, Additional A.G. Punjab.

SURYA KANT, J. (ORAL)

1.) This Letters Patent Appeal is directed against the order dated 03.12.2012 whereby learned Single Judge has rejected in limine the challenge laid to the order cancelling the Building Plans which were earlier sanctioned, as there was violation of such Plans while carrying out the construction at site.

2.) On March 2, 2015, we heard learned counsel for the appellant and declined to interfere in the order passed by learned Single Judge, further observing that the appellant could submit

revised building plans for sanctioning the construction raised by her along with compounding fee, if any, provided that such construction is permissible at the site.

3.) Learned counsel for the appellants sought time to have instructions.

4.) It is stated by Mr. Amit Jain, learned counsel for the appellant on instructions that the appellant is inclined to submit revised Building Plans to save the construction raised at the site strictly through permissible manner and in accordance with building bye-laws.

5.) As prayed for, the appeal is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to the appellant to submit revised building plans. We direct the Competent Authority to take a decision on such building plans in accordance with law/building bye-laws as early as possible and preferably within a period of two months from the date of submission of the building plans complete in all respects.

(SURYA KANT)
JUDGE

(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE

July 02, 2015.

sandeep sethi