

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

FAO No. 4584 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of decision: 01.09.2015

Surjit Kumar

... Appellant

versus

Shiv Ram and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

Present: Mr. Dinesh Mahajan, Advocate for the appellant.

K.Kannan, J.

Counsel for the appellant says that the petitioner was dismissed only on the ground that the Court believed that the appellant had declared his income to be Rs. 20,000/- per month when the declared income as per column No.6 was Rs. 3,200/-. The petitioner is at liberty to apply to the Court for review and secure compensation of what is possible on merits without wasting time in this Court for ordering notice to the respondents and taking up the case for disposal after few years.

The appeal is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to apply for review of a patent error said to have been committed by the Tribunal.

The revision petition is disposed of with the liberty aforesaid.

This manner of disposal of the revision petition will not the

prevent the Tribunal for supporting correctness of award that is passed on another ground after due consideration of the plea that his income was only Rs. 3,200/-.

(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE

01.09.2015

kv