

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA

AT CHANDIGARH

FAO No.415 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of decision: 26.05.2015

Inderjit Kaur

..... Appellant

versus

Smt.Amrit Kaur and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr.Justice Kuldip Singh

Present: Mr.Amrik Singh , Advocate for the appellant

1. *Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral)

Challenged in the present appeal is the order dated 13.11.2014, passed by the Additional Deputy Commissioner/ Election Tribunal, Roop Nagar, vide which, the Election Petition filed by the appellant, challenging the election of Smt.Amrit Kaur – respondent as Sarpanch of village Katli, Tehsil and District Roopnagar was dismissed.

The contention of learned counsel for the appellant is that as per record, 408 votes were polled in total and during recounting, 409 votes were found from the ballot box. However, it is not disputed that recounting was done in this case and on both the occasions, respondent No.1 was found to have received more votes than the appellant. Every time recounting cannot be ordered just for the

satisfaction of the appellant. The recounting has already been done.

One ballet which was stated to be without any number will not affect the outcome of the result.

In view of aforesaid observations, the appeal stands dismissed.

26.05.2015
gk

(Kuldip Singh)
Judge