

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

FAO No. 3766 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of decision: 10.12.2015

Shri Ram General Insurance Company Limited ... Appellant
versus
Meenakshi and others Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

Present: Mr. Rajvir Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Sanjeev Goyal, Advocate for the appellant.

K.Kannan, J.

The appeal by the Insurance Company is with reference to very same subject matter that is to be considered in FAO No. 4390 of 2015, where the claimants have come to this Court for enhancement. The compensation assessed has taken the age of the deceased as 22 years when he actually 32 years and this according to the counsel resulted in wrong application of multiplier. According to the counsel, the multiplier ought to have been 16 when the Tribunal had adopted a multiplier of 18. I take that objection as tenable but all the same the award that does not provide for love and affection to parents and does not also consider the assessment of loss to estate in the manner provided in some of the recent decisions, the additional compensation that has resulted to the tune of Rs. 1,22,000/- by choice of value of multiplier could be more than off-set by the compensation that will have to be provided under certain heads which have been referred to above.

I make no intervention in the assessment already made and dismiss the appeal filed by the Insurance Company.

10.12.2015

kv

(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE