

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

FAO-5671 of 2013 (O&M).
Decided on:-04.09.2015.

Meenakshi Sachdeva

.....Appellant.

Versus

Narender Sachdeva

.....Respondent.

***CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIVE BHALLA.
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA***

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present:- Mr. Rajesh Bansal, Advocate
for the appellant.

Mr. A.P.S. Mann, Advocate
for the respondent.

RAJIVE BHALLA, J. (ORAL)

CM-17641-CII-2015

Allowed as prayed for.

CM-17642-CII-2015

Prayer in this application is to dispose of the appeal as
infructuous in view of compromise dated 7.4.2015 (Annexure A-8).

Notice of the application.

Learned counsel for the parties state that parties have resolved
the dispute by way of compromise dated 7.4.2015 (Anneuxre A-8). The

appeal may be taken on Board and dismissed as infructuous.

We have heard learned counsel for the parties and in view of the compromise between the parties, take the appeal on Board.

Main Case

In view of the compromise and statement made by counsel for the parties, the appeal is dismissed as infructuous.

(RAJIVE BHALLA)
JUDGE

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

September 04, 2015
'Yag Dutt'