

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

FAO No.4292 of 2013 (O&M)
Date of decision : 20.10.2015

Rupinder Singh Grewal

...Appellant

Versus

M/s Reliable Agro Engg. Services Pvt. Ltd. and ors.

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment ?
2. To be referred to reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: Mr. G.B.S. Dhillon, Advocate for the appellant.

Mr. Rohit Sud, Advocate for respondent No.1.

Mr. Mohit Setia, Advocate for
Mr. Gaurav Chopra, Advocate for respondent No.2.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

Mr. Rohit Sud, learned counsel for the respondent No.1 submits, that challenge in the present appeal is to the order dated 02.03.2013 passed in application under Section 9 (ii)(a) of Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996. However, during the interregnum, even the Arbitrator, who was appointed, had announced the award, therefore, no cause of action survives in the present appeal.

In view of statement made by learned counsel for the respondent No.1, present appeal is ordered to be disposed of as infructuous.

20.10.2015

pawan

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**